

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,531	12/19/2005	Brian Graham	13801US	9102
24116 BATTELLE N	7590 09/25/2004 MEMORIAL INSTITUT	EXAMINER		
505 KING AV	ENUE	KELLY, ROBERT M		
COLUMBUS,	ОН 43201-2693		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,531	GRAHAM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ROBERT M. KELLY	1633		

	ROBERT M. KELLY	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
ITER REFLET FILED CONDUCTED AND A THE STOPPING FIRST APPLIED TO ON the Same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To a void abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 August 2008. A brie date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3)	(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: 1,7 and 32.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-24.26-30.32.33.36-47 and 49-56. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	/Robert M Kelly/ Examiner of Art Unit 163	3					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims provide several new limitations which have not been utilized in the claims before, and require consideration for the scope of such, and considerations for the possibility of being new matter. For example, while the previous claims were drawn to charged or uncharged "material"; the proposed amended claims now encompass aerosol particles which are charged or uncharged. The scope difference between these limitations has not been considered before and requires consideration, and consideration for new matter being encompassed.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to issues which they previously had the chance to address and/or had previously addressed in the final action. In addition, it is noted that the arguments are drawn to the newly proposed claims which are not entered. Lastly, it is noted that even if the Examiner were to forgo the process and give further consideration, and even if the argument were to work for the claims as present at final rejection, because the claims are releated by Art. it would require further consideration. Hence, there is no further prosecution at this time.